

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,764	04/06/2001	Gang Liu	0118-00101	7361	
75	90 04/18/2003				
Robert A. Dunn			EXAMINER		
Dinnin & Dunn, Ste. 2100	,		MENEFEE,	MENEFEE, JAMES A	
755 West Big B Troy, MI 4808			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
110),111	•		2828		
		DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/827,764	LIU, GANG
Ť	Examiner	Art Unit
	James A. Menefee	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE THEORY FILED 31 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THEORY FOR THEORY FILED 31 March 2001 FOR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount that the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: as in the final rejection.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	_XM /
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	QUYEN PRIMARY RY	EXAMINEDENING AND
	516	my le

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 11

Application No. 009/827,764

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

4

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment raises new issues by adding significant limitations to a number of the claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the new issues raised by the amendment would necessitate a further search, regardless of affadavit obviating the previous rejection.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's arguments have been considered, but the Finality of the previous action has not been withdrawn. While Applicant did not previously amend claim 8, Applicant's arguments provided a different insight into what the Applicant intended as the claimed invention. Without the added arguments, the Examiner would have upheld the previous rejection, and thus, while the claim was not specifically amended, Applicant's response necessitated the new rejection..